



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/824,808 | 04/15/2004 | Leslie Mark Ernest | AUS920040042US1 | 6687 |
| 45993 | 7590 | 05/01/2009 | | |
| IBM CORPORATION (RHF) C/O ROBERT H. FRANTZ P. O. BOX 23324 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73123 | | | EXAMINER MILLER, ALAN S | |
| | | | ART UNIT 3624 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 05/01/2009 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/824,808 | Applicant(s) ERNEST ET AL. | |
| | Examiner ALAN MILLER | Art Unit 3624 | |

All Participants:

(1) ALAN MILLER.

(2) Robert Frantz.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: PENDING

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

As per Applicant's request in the response filed on 2/2/09, the Examiner left a message for Robert Frantz to clarify that the art used in the rejection was correctly cited to MAIN 5,893,905. Applicant's contention that the reference is directed to JAIN is incorrect

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 3624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)